On Using Data Models in Travel Forecasting

David Ory Presentation to Ohio Model User's Group April 5, 2024

wsp

Agenda

- What?
- Why?
- How? (and Where?)
- Example: Ohio's Roadway Network Standard
- Questions/Discussions

From Wikipedia:

An abstract model that organizes elements of data and standardizes how they relate to one another and to the properties of real-world entities.

| class TravelAnalysisZoneData(PydanticModel) | | TravelAnal | ysisZoneData(P | ydanticModel): |
|---|--|------------|----------------|----------------|
|---|--|------------|----------------|----------------|

.....

Space is segmented into discrete segments for use in travel model analysis. A travel analysis zone, or TAZ, is a unit of space.

id: PositiveInt

""" ID

Unique Identifier

....

private_dwellings NonNegativeInt

""" Private Dwellings

A physical space where a single household resides. May be a standalone physical structure or part of a multi-unit structure.

employment_agriculture NonNegativeFloat

""" Employment in Agriculture Industry

The number of people who work in a firm engaged in an agriculture-related business at this location on a typical weekday.

.....

Data Model

Defining your variables (including data types) and relationships Specify logical and useful model structures and formulations

Data structures for input and output

Database technologies





Documentation

Employment

A. A firm-operated physical place identified in tax records as the employer of one or more worker.

- B. A physical place identified as the "work location" in a survey response of one or more worker.
- C. A firm-operated physical place to which one or more workers travels on a regular basis.
- D. Something else?



Relationships

@computed_field

@property

def employment total (self) -> NonNegativeFloat:

""" Total Employment

The sum of employment across industry categories.

return

- self.employment_agriculture
- + self.employment mining
- + self.employment construction
- + self.employment manufacturing
- + self.employment wholesale
- + self.employment retail
- + self.employment transport
- + self.employment communication
- + self.employment finance
- + self.employment rental
- + self.employment professional
- + self.employment administrative
- + self.employment_education
- + self.employment health
- + self.employment social
- + self.employment accommodation
- + self.employment_public_administration
- + self.employment_other

Why?

Requiring users to sum fields is error prone, vague, and unnecessary. Calculations that derive variables from other variables (e.g., total employment, household density) should be done in one and only one place.

Why?

class Tour (TravelActivity):

""" Tour

A tour is a round-trip movement, with or without stops, between home or work and a primary destination.

purpose: e.Purpose

""" Purpose

A label defining the purpose of the tour, which is the activity that takes place at the primary destination.

....

return_to_origin : e.ModelTime

""" Model Time

The time of day category at which the traveler returns to the tour origin.

trips: List[Trip]

""" Trips on the Tour

A trip is a movement between two of the tour origin, primary tour destination, or an intermediate stop

Numerous relationships in activity-based models are implied by the model structures rather than explicitly defined.



class Mode(IntEnum):

""" Mode

Provides an integer mapping for travel mode.

DRIVE_ALONE = 1 SHARED_RIDE_2 = 2 SHARED_RIDE_3 = 3 MALK = 4 BICYCLE = 5 MALK_TO_TRANSIT = 6 PARK_AND_RIDE_TRANSI KISS_AND_RIDE_TRANSI SCHOOL, BUS = 9 Strings should never be used in travel models: they are error prone and have relatively large memory footprints.



Validation (a.k.a. Verification)

Verification

Data model software has verification tools that can be used to:

- Check variable names
- Check data types
- Check relationships, e.g., if households > 0, then household population > 0
- Compute "derived" variables, e.g., total employment, as needed

How? (and Where?)

Examples

- Overture Maps (JSON Schema)
- <u>General Modeling Network Specification</u> (JSON Schema)
- <u>Main Roads W.A. PTM Prototype</u> (Pydantic)
- <u>Chandra Bot Project</u> (Google Protocol Buffers)
- Agent (internal data model or "schema")

Network Standard Example

| | | 1 | MPOYYALT.net | | required a | optional fields | | These fields | are requ | |
|------------|-----------|------|---|--|------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| | File Type | | Voyager Highway Network | | | y optional field | | These fields | | |
| | | | revesering method | | | optional perio | | | | |
| | | | | | ODOT onl | | | lines | | |
| | | | | | MPO only | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | |
| Category | Field | Туре | Description | | | | | | | |
| Core Field | A | num | A Node Number | | | 1 | | | | |
| Core Field | В | num | B Node Number | | | · | | | | |
| Info Only | RTENAME | txt | Denotes the name of the roadway in the model | | | | | | | |
| Info Only | RTENUMB | txt | Denotes the route number of the roadway | | | | | | | |
| Core Field | DIST | num | Distance (miles) | | | | | | | |
| Core Field | POSTSPD | num | Posted speed limit (mph) | | | S | ource | o of T | ruth | Excel |
| Core Field | SPDMOD | num | Positive or negative modification to the free flow speed (mph) for ALL vehicles - daily | | | 5 | ource | .011 | 1411. | |
| Core Field | SPDMOD_TK | num | Positive or negative modification to the free flow speed (mph) for trucks - daily - This is applied in ADDITION to the spdmod field. | | | | | | | |
| Core Field | SCRN_PEN | num | Screen line penalty in minutes - only used in distribution | | | | | | | |
| | | | Operational class or modified functional class | | | C | ou kee | Act | ionable: | No |
| | | | 10 - Freeway | | | 3 | ource | ACL | ionable. | INU |
| | | | 11 - Turnpike | | | | | | | |
| | | | 20 - Expressway | | | | | | | |
| | | | 30 - Ramp - note speed override of 35 mph | | | | | | | |
| | | | 31 - Freeway to Freeway Ramp (optional) - uses postspd instead of 35 mph | | | 1 | larific | ation | n Approach: | Mark |
| | | | 32 - Exit Ramp (optional) | | | v | ennc | αιιοι | тарргойсп. | IVIdI K |
| Core Field | FACTYPE | num | 33 - Entrance Ramp (optional) | | | | | | | |
| | | | 34 - Turnpike Toll Plaza (optional) | | | | | | | |
| | | | 40 - Major Road (Arterial) | | | | | | | |
| | | | 50 - Minor Road (Collector) | | | C | tring | Auroi | danca | Int or |
| | | | 60 - Local | | | 3 | ung | Ανυι | dance: | |
| | | | 61 - Centroid Connector stub links needed for signals (optional) | | will nee | | | | | |
| | | | 70 - Centroid Connector | | _ | | | | | |
| | | | 71 - External Connector (optional) | | | | | | | |
| Core Field | LANES | num | Number of mid link through lanes | | | C | hana | | a• | Excel |
| Core Field | WIDTH | num | Directional roadway width mid link | | _ | C | Chang | e Lo | 3. | Excer |
| | | | Turn lanes, 2 possible formats: | | | | | | 7 | |
| | | | AB where A=exclusive left turn lanes, B=exclusive right turn lanes | | | | | | | |
| | | | ABCDE where A=exclusive left, B=shared left-through, C=through | | _ | | | | | |
| Core Field | TURNLANE | txt | D=shared through-right, E=exclusive right | | Data | 5.000 | e Validation: | Mada | | |
| | | | Note that when using 5 digit format, PARKING is not subtracted from through lanes. As with 2 digit coding, if an | | | | ναια ι | ype | vallaation: | Mode |
| | | | exclusive turn lane is not provided, a through lane will be considered shared, thus code 10100 is equivalent (and in fact preferred) to 10010. Generally codes B and D should only be used if a shared lane exists in addition of an | | | | | | | |
| | | | exclusive lane (very rare). | | | | | | | |
| | | | Mid link median turn lane | | | | | | | |
| Core Field | MEDTURN | num | 1 - 1 lane | | | | | | | |
| core rielu | MEDIORI | num | 0 - no lane | | | No. | | | | |
| | | | U - no tane | | | | | | | |

| Source of Truth: | Excel |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Source Actionable: | No |
| Verification Approach: | Mark B. |
| String Avoidance: | Int or short-string codes |
| Change Log: | Excel versions, manual diffs? |
| Data Type Validation: | Model run errors? |
| | |

Network Standard Example

Pydantic

What would this look like?

class RoadwayNetworkLinkAttribut∉BaseModel):

""" Roadway Network Link Attribute
Defines a standard network link
"""

category: Category

""" Category

An enum defining whether the attribute is required, optional, for information, etc. See enum.py for complete details

.....

eight_char_name Annotated[str, StringConstraintsmax_length=8)]

""" Eight Character Name

A variable name limited to eight characters to use in select software programs that require FORTRAN-like variable names.

.....

description: Annotated[str, StringConstraints_max_length=255)]

""" Description

A description of the variable.

....

Network Example

Illustrative Pydantic Approach

class Category(IntEnum):

.....

Category

Provides integer mapping to the category of variable type.

.....

CORE_FIELD = 1 INFORMATION_ONLY = AUXILLIARY_USE = 3

OVER_RIDE = 4

VALIDATION_ONLY = 5

 $MPO_USE = 6$

Illustrative Pydantic Approach

lass a_node(RoadwayNetworkLinkAttribut#:
 """ A Node
The node identifier at the beginning end of the
 """
value: ClassVar[PositiveInt]
def __str__(self):
 return standard_name
cotogory = Category COPE_FIELD

eight_char_name = "A_NODE"
standard_name = "A_NODE"
description = "A Node Number"
varies_by_model_time_period= False
....

Illustrative Pydantic Approach

class RoadwayNetworkLink(BaseModel):

""" Roadway Network Link

A collection of roadway network attributes

a_node: ANode b_node: BNode distance: Distance posted_speed_limit: PostedSpeedLimi city name: Optional[City]

- - -

lass RoadwayNetwork(BaseModel):
 """ RoadwayNetwork
 Defines a roadway network standar
 """

links: List[RoadwayNetworkLink]
nodes: List[RoadwayNetworkNode]

Illustrative Pydantic Approach

try:



| Source of Truth: | Excel |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Source Actionable: | No |
| Verification Approach: | Manual Review? |
| String Avoidance: | Int or string codes |
| Change Log: | Excel versions, manual diffs? |
| Data Type Validation: | Model run errors? |

Source of Truth:PythonSource Actionable:YesVerification Approach:AutomatedString Avoidance:Enumerated variablesChange Log:GitHubData Type Validation:Python

Network Standard Example

Pros

- 1. Python Yay!
- 2. Verification cost \rightarrow zero (verification is *the* killer feature of data models)
- 3. Inconsistencies (definitions, data types, derived calculations) \rightarrow zero
- 4. Variable codes (e.g., intersection type) are defined in one and only one place

Cons

- 1. Python Ugh!
- 2. Excel version becomes "read only"
- 3. Change
- 4. Python code requires *continuous*, usually minor, maintenance
- Higher upfront cost, including becoming familiar with Pydantic (or something similar)

Network Standard Example

Questions/Discussion